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SUMMARY

This paper reports on the objectives and research methods chosen for the RENORD research programme, being conducted at 5 European research institutions. Partner institutions have been developing the themes and methods since early 2009, while the official start of the programme at the coordinating institution HiO started in Spring, 2010.
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INTRODUCTION

All buildings are in a progressive state of decay due to diverse physico-chemical processes and time. (Benjamin, 2008) Table 1 provides a sketch of what the factors are that through experience affect decay and the various capacities and roles of the different stakeholders. One factor outside the table that sometimes affects decay, or is sometimes used as a strategy, is that of a change to the brief for the building, thus changing how decay is viewed and dealt with. (Cramer and Breitling, 2007).

Table 1. Human factors affecting the decay of buildings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Practices/cultural traditions</th>
<th>Design/strategies</th>
<th>Regulations</th>
<th>Industry standards</th>
<th>Technologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction industry</td>
<td>Partial but Ltd. informed</td>
<td>Partial, Expert on Practices</td>
<td>Informed</td>
<td>Partial and Informed</td>
<td>Partial and Informed</td>
<td>Partial and Informed on Installation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Users</td>
<td>Partial, Informed</td>
<td>Partial, expert on Societal practices</td>
<td>Informed, often impartial</td>
<td>Impartial and Lacks information</td>
<td>Impartial and Uninformed</td>
<td>Impartial and uninformed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Society in general</td>
<td>Impartial, Lacks Information</td>
<td>Change and negotiation, informed,</td>
<td>Impartial (?) Non-expert but vital</td>
<td>Partial, Participant in legislation</td>
<td>Often impartial, Uninformed, Disinterested?</td>
<td>Often impartial, Uninformed, Disinterested?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparently, there is already here a high degree of complexity, disagreement, and lacunæ in the knowledge bases for the study of Passivhaus modernisations of buildings. Some would even claim disagreement about the correct delimitation of the object/subject of study and demand a more critical, or non-naive, characterization of the socio-economic and political power relationships concerning the existing stock in society. However, research is limited in
time, budget, and its use of disciplines, so that the RENORD project has chosen to focus on understanding the physical structure, the construction process, and the design-related issues concerned with the achievement of the Passivhaus standard for modernisations, (or at least low-energy modernisation with Passivhaus components). Thus, an anthropological view of society is coupled with the technical view in the service of understanding how and why projects get built, how decisions are made, what affect tradition, normal practices, technological innovation, and market penetration have on the design/construction process, and finally, what might be useful strategies and approaches for studying passivhaus modernisations. The final product of the RENORD project will be two-fold: A document co-authored with industry partners that will detail best practices processes, technologies, and design/engineering strategies and designs for the Passivhaus modernisation of existing structures in Norden, and enhanced research capacity within Norden on the research subject. As of now, the RENORD research group on Passivhaus modernisation in Norden is made up of the Tampere University of Technology (Tampere), Arkitektskolen i Aarhus (Aarhus), the Swedish Environmental Research Institute, located in Stockholm (IVL), Oslo University College (Oslo), and Lang Consulting/IG Passivhaus Österreich (Vienna).

ENERGY AND COMPLEXITY OF MODERNISATIONS

As in Table 1, under “Regulations,” It is precisely because of the emerging perception that many buildings, even relatively new ones, are wasteful of energy, that many of these buildings are now seen as decayed or in need of repair, upgrading, or modernisation. It is the contention of the RENORD partners, along with many other authors, that such buildings not simply be demolished in the uncritical search for the newest and flashiest sustainability symbol, but rather that their preservation through the best practices of Passivhaus type modernisation contributes to both energetic and resource sustainability efforts, but also broader societal goals such as historic preservation, retention of urban wholeness, preservation of the feeling of home (Cramer and Breitling, 2007; Benjamin, 1996), and the preservation of historically significant aesthetics and building techniques.

Further, the processes involved in modernising a building to the Passivhaus standard are often quite involved. They run the gamut from the practical experience of preservation tradespersons and architects/engineers acquainted with working on old buildings to the latest techniques of stakeholder participation and the incorporation of Building Information Modelling together with centrally controlled Building Automation Systems. (Wikipedia, 2010).

THE RESEARCH OBJECTS AND THE RESEARCH APPROACH

Thus, the RENORD research effort needs to study an object, as well as a process, and indeed, an object that is viewed in different ways by different stakeholders. RENORD has chosen to look at these projects as case studies and to use the widely available literature on the case study method, (Groat and Wang, 2001). This method shows a way forward to understanding the object and the process of building as different stakeholders view it, and thus has the ability to benefit from triangulation concerning the ‘reality’ of the performance of the construction processes and the building itself. Further, stakeholders, such as users, bring up issues concerning the building and it’s use that often go unnoticed by architects/engineers or owners. Finally, by looking at the whole building and its process of becoming, one can begin to see how different elements, processes, materials, stakeholders, and time itself interact to make the building what it becomes when the project achieves substantial completion, or at other
relevant times. All this goes toward making a so-called ‘real-world’ model of the building and its construction process. With such models, illustrated in Fig. 2, if built with care by using the same parameters and measurement criteria, one can begin to compare the case studies to both standards of interest (such as the Passivhaus standard), and to other buildings of the same type, other building types, or similar buildings but in other countries or climate zones. By such comparison, RENORD plans to look for generalities of how the modernisation process works, how well it functions to achieve the goals of the brief, how well the building functions energetically, and where might improvements be made in terms of design processes and strategies, the use of materials, components, and equipment, and with regards to the design/construction process itself.

In parallel with the use of the case study method of model construction and comparative analyses, the RENORD group of researchers will develop a general understanding of the modernised structures and their associated processes by developing in series theses, antitheses, and syntheses about the research objects. The construction of this series will further the holistic and inclusive understanding of the Passivhaus modernisations in time and space as dynamic processes, which should thus allow the group to compose the user guidelines on Passivhaus modernisation together with the several industry partners in the five different countries.

The techniques used to develop these theses, antitheses, and syntheses concerning the modernisations and their construction processes include:

1. Literature reviews and content analysis of sources focused on the fields of Passivhaus design/construction, Passivhaus modernisations, construction and process innovation, energy efficiency for buildings, and historical conservation/restoration of buildings.
2. Traditional type discussion and correspondence between PhD students and their advisors and colleagues.
3. Ethnographic type interviews with industry partners within the construction industry.
4. Document analysis of drawings and specifications of case study objects, from the industry partners.
5. Seminars and colloquia involving both industry partners and research partners together for the presentation and criticism of these themes.
6. Finally, traditional editorial meetings to draft and edit the final document user guide to modernisation.

DELIIMITATION AND DISCUSSION OF RESEARCH THEMES


At present, research partners are involved in the following activities:

1. Developing an overview of the general existing situation for the existing stock in their own country, for the industry partners concerned, and especially with regard to buildings that will or are undergoing modernisation to the Passivhaus standard.
2. Defining concepts and architectural/engineering strategies for modernisation.
3. Selecting and documenting likely case studies.
4. Conducting initial interviews with industry partners, including professional design/engineering consultants and construction firms.


6. Planning for further international seminars between the research partners to take place during the balance of 2010.

7. Content analysis of prior research on Passivhaus modernisation and methods of study of the subject. (for example, Cf. Benjamin, 2008 and this references list).

DISCUSSION

The RENORD research programme is at the moment performing the meta-analysis of prior research on the theme of Passivhaus modernisations and thus little comment can be made about this key aspect of the research content and the likely, applicable research methods, approaches, and themes of interest. We can say that reports in the published literature on the subject in German are quite extensive and should be reviewed as much as practical, time and language skills considering. It is already apparent from cursory readings of the literature that the following research themes are important challenges: The insulation of the building envelope, achieving cost-efficiency with high levels of energy efficiency and construction quality for housing blocks, developing coordinated and innovative processes within the construction sector to achieve high quality in the final product, and the development of innovative products and design/construction processes for Passivhaus modernisation.

Based on these apparent challenges, and through discussions between the research partners and between the research partners and the industry partners, it appears that a couple of themes or interest foci are developing for the research programme. Three themes gaining interest are:

1. The renovation of large, urban housing blocks from the 20th Century to the Passivhaus standard. (Aarhus, Stockholm, and Tampere)

2. The renovation of the building envelope of large, urban buildings, to the Passivhaus standard, from a holistic perspective. (Oslo).

3. One other important theme is the cost-effective modernisation of large, urban buildings, taking into account detailed studies of the technical components and their affect on user satisfaction. (Tampere).

Aarhus has started their investigation of buildings with two projects: The first, an overall documentation project of existing and future energy efficiency renovation projects in Denmark by research assistant Karen Hansen, and the second, Sustainable Building Transformation by PhD student Terri Peters, a study of modernist housing blocks in Denmark from the 20th Century. The second project looks at blocks from between 1945 and 1970, as examples of material culture that require care and understanding, and which seeks to propose ways that such housing can achieve enhanced user friendliness, better energy performance, and improved architectural quality.

The Oslo research team is coordinating international research communications and resource acquisition, while also starting the early stages of research on the overall building envelope. The PhD candidate Xavier Dequaire is doing research on Passivhaus renovations of schools in the greater Oslo region, as an example of how renovation deals with the built envelope, especially with regards to older buildings of more or less historical value, in congested urban sites. Such buildings present a myriad of challenges ranging from the aesthetic to the
technical, and on to how to best deal with the design/construction process. This team is starting this effort off by performing initial interviews with industry partners who thus help us to focus on specific buildings as case studies. Once the case study objects are selected from the present initial list of candidates, we will begin with more detailed analyses of project documents, drawings, photographs, more interviews, attendance at project meetings, and site visits.

**Model of the existing building and the upgrading/modernisation process**

Innovative techniques for quality assessment, quality control, team interaction from tradesperson to owner
Future-proofing of buildings, flexibility and user responsiveness
Passivhaus standard, Industry standards & gov. regulations
Integration of renewable energies and low-carbon technologies
Energy efficiency of buildings
Conservation, modernisation, and renovation practice and knowledge
Documentation and surveying of buildings

Existing buildings

Modernised, upgraded buildings, with commissioning

Monitoring of building parameters in real-time
Post-occupancy evaluations
Operations and management, also as coordinated with building information management systems and software
Life-cycle analysis, incl. present-value and GHG accounting
Modernisation and deconstruction cycles

**Documentation of the modernised, upgraded building**

Figure 2. Modelling the interaction of factors affecting a Passivhaus building modernisation project

**CONCLUSIONS**

The modernisation of the existing stock to the Passivhaus standard, or using Passivhaus components, is apparently a vital aspect of European, and indeed developed country, efforts to mitigate and adapt to Global Climate Change. Research in Norden on this subject is thus timely, important, and interesting as a scientific pursuit because it is of value to society at this point in history and there are still disagreements an apparent lack of knowledge and practical
know-how concerning how to best achieve this standard in the region. This paper lays out the beginnings of a methodological discussion for research and thus invites comment and criticism on this research programme.
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